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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The bridging of the sella turcica and dental anomalies have common embryonic origins and underlying genetic basis. Many studies have linked sella turcica bridging to developmental syndromes affecting the craniofacial region, and local dental anomalies such as tooth transposition and palatal canine impaction. The purpose of this study was to determine association between bridging of the sella turcica and hypodontia.

Methods: In this cross-sectional analytical study, the clinical records along with lateral cephalograms and orthopantamograms of 40 hypodontic patients as study sample (12 males and 28 females; mean age 13.9±2.5 years) and of 120 non hypodontic patients as control groups (58 males and 62 females; mean age 14.1±1.8 years) matched for age and gender to the study sample who came for orthodontic treatment from 2016-2018, were collected from archives of orthodontic unit of Tribhuvan University Teaching Hospital and one private orthodontic clinic. Panoramic radiographs were evaluated for hypodontia. In order to quantify the extent of a sella turcica bridge on lateral cephalogram, the contour of the pituitary fossa from the tip of the dorsum sellae to the tuberculum sella was traced and extent of bridging was categorized by standardize scoring scale using comparative measurement of sella length and diameter.

Results: The presence of complete bridging (17.5%) and partial bridging (55%) in patients with hypodontia was more than complete bridging (5%) and partial bridging (37.5%) in patients without hypodontia (controls). The association between the degree of bridging and hypodontia was statistically significant according to chi-square statistics (p=0.001). There were no statistical difference between the degree of calcification and gender (p=0.616).

Conclusion: Sella turcica bridging is significantly associated with hypodontia. There is no dependence between the degree of calcification and gender. As the sella turcica bridge appear early in life, it should alert clinicians as a useful diagnostic predictor to the possible development of tooth anomalies later in life.
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INTRODUCTION:
The sella turcica is the intracranial bony depression located centrally within the sphenoid bone in which pituitary gland is located.\(^1\) As orthodontists deal frequently with sella turcica on the lateral radiographs, they should be familiar with the normal sellar anatomy and able to recognize any kind of morphologic deviation that might reflect pathological situations.\(^2\) Sella turcica bridging (STB) is the common developmental anomaly of the sella turcica arises due to excessive ossification of dura mater between anterior and posterior clinoidal processes.\(^3\,4\,5\)

The frequency of sella bridging ranges from 1.1% to 13% in healthy individuals but it’s percentage increases in patients having severe craniofacial deviations.\(^2\,6\) Dental agenesis is considered as an important clinical and public health problem.\(^7\)

Patients with missing permanent teeth may suffer from inarticulate pronunciation, a reduced chewing ability, and an unfavourable aesthetic appearance.\(^8\) This generally affects their self-esteem, communication behaviour, and professional performance.\(^9\)

Area of sella turcica is a critical point for the migration of neural crest cells to the frontonasal and maxillary area during embryonic development. So, the neural crest cells are involved in the development of sella turcica as well as the teeth.\(^3\,10\) It was supposed that anatomic deviations of sella turcica could be associated with dental alterations. So, the association between STB and dental anomalies has been an area of interest for many researchers.\(^11\,13\)

Certain genomic mutations in the homeobox gene expression, contained within neural crest cells, can lead to faulty signaling pathways that affects the development of teeth, midface, and sella-turcica.\(^13\,14\) About half of the patients with cleft lip and palate\(^15\) and almost half of the children with malocclusion have sella turcica abnormalities.\(^16\) All these findings confirm a genetic basis for sella turcica bridging.

Several studies have linked sella turcica bridging and others hereditary developmental syndromes that affects the craniofacial region, and also many local dental anomalies such as tooth transposition, palatal canine impaction and missing mandibular second premolars.\(^17\,18\)

However, little research is available on the association between hypodontia and sella turcica bridging.\(^19\,20\)

The aim of the present study was to determine association between bridging of
the sella turcica and hypodontia, given the evidence of common embryonic origins and genetic basis of these structures.

**MATERIALS & METHODS**

This is a cross-sectional analytical study, in which records of the orthodontic patients who came for orthodontic treatment during 2016 to 2018, were collected from archives of orthodontics unit, Tribhuvan university dental teaching hospital and one private orthodontic clinic of Kathmandu, Nepal. Before conducting this study, ethical approval was taken from Institutional Review Committee of Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal (Ref: 191/(6-11) E², 2076/2077).

**Study population:**

The clinical records along with good quality of lateral cephalogram and orthopantamogram of 40 subjects (12 males and 28 females; mean age 13.9 years; SD 2.5 years) who came for orthodontic treatment and affected by dental hypodontia were collected from orthodontics unit, Tribhuvan university dental teaching hospital and one private orthodontic clinic of Kathmandu, Nepal. (Note: Reason for limited sample size is based on prevalence of hypodontia shown by previous studies, convenience and feasibility of the study- Leonardi et al, 2011 (18))

Panoramic radiograph was evaluated for missing teeth except third molars. A tooth was diagnosed as congenitally missing if the mineralization of its crown could not be identified on orthopantomomogram. However exclusion criteria included subjects with systemic disease or Craniofacial anomalies/Congenital syndrome, missing teeth due to decay processes, avulsions or extracted for orthodontics or other reasons and poor quality of radiographs.

**Control group:**

The control group comprises of 120 subjects matched for age and gender without hypodontia (58 males and 62 females; mean age 14.1 years; SD 1.8 years) were randomly selected from those referred for orthodontic treatment at the same place and time frame. The exclusion criteria were the same as for the study population.

**Cephalometric tracing of sella turcica:**

In order to quantify the extent of a sella turcica bridge from each lateral cephalograms of all cases and controls, the contour of the pituitary fossa from the tip of the dorsum sellae to the tuberculum sella was traced on transparent acetate sheets in
a darkened room on a laminator using a 0.5 mm lead pencil and was measured manually by one observer. On the sella turcica contour, 3 points were localized: TS (Tuberculum Sella), DS (Dorsum Sella) and PS (the farthest point on the inner wall of the sella). The sella turcica length (distance from TS to the tip of the DS) and antero-posterior greatest diameter (distance from TS to the PS) were measured (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1 Normal sella turcica morphology and landmarks used for determining bridging. TS, tuberculum sella; DS, dorsum sella; PS, the farthest point on the inner wall of the sella. The sella turcica length represented by black line, whereas greatest antero-posterior diameter represented by dashed line. To evaluate and quantify the level of bridging, the standard scoring scale developed by Leonardi et al. 17 was used. On the basis of sella dimensions, the bridging was classified into three types (Fig. 2):

Type I: No bridging, when the length of sella was greater than three-fourths of the greatest antero-posterior diameter.
Type II: Partial bridging, when the length of sella was either equal to or less than three-fourths of the greatest antero-posterior diameter.
Type III: Complete bridging, when there was radiographically visible diaphragm sellae.

Fig. 2: I: No bridging, II: Partial bridging, and III: Complete bridging

Statistical Analysis
Data obtained were transferred to MS-excel sheet. The data were verified and analysed statistically using SPSS Statistics Version 21.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) with confidence level set at 95% (P < 0.05) to test for significance. Data were descriptively analysed. The association between sella turcica bridging and hypodontia as well as between sella turcica bridging and genders were estimated using Chi-square test. For reliability of measurement, duplicate tracings of 35 radiographs selected randomly from cases and controls were made on two separate occasions by the same author with a 2 weeks interval between tracings and the random error was assessed (Houston, 1983). Sella measurement errors for both length and diameter between first and second measurements ranged from 0.12 to 0.19 mm, were considered non-significant.

**RESULT:**
Among 40 patients with hypodontia (study subjects), 12 (30%) were male and 28 (70%) were female. While in controls group having 120 patients without hypodontia, 38 (31.66%) were male and 82 (68.33%) were female (Fig. 3).

(Note: Reason for selecting 120 patients as control is based on previous studies that states at least three time the size of control to have good statistical comparison-Leonardi et al, 2011 (18))
Table 1: Degree of calcification of the sella turcica in patients with hypodontia and controls among gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Patients with hypodontia (n=40)</th>
<th>Patients (Controls) (n=120)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No bridging</td>
<td>Partial bridging</td>
<td>Complete bridging</td>
<td>No bridging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p-value < 0.05 = statistically significant

The distribution of the degree of calcification of sella turcica in patients with hypodontia and without hypodontia is shown in Figure 4.

The prevalence of complete calcification in patients without hypodontia was 5%, while for those with hypodontia (Fig. 5), it was 17.5%. In patients without hypodontia, the prevalence of a partially calcified sella turcica was 37.5% and increased to 55% for patients with hypodontia (Fig 6). Similarly no calcification or no bridging in patients without hypodontia was 57.5% while for those with hypodontia (Fig 7), it was 27.5% (Table 2).
Chi-squared test showed that the presence of partial and complete calcification of sella turcica in patients with hypodontia (cases) is significantly more frequent than in controls (chi-square=13.363, df= 2, p=0.001). Statistic confirmed that there is a significant association between sella turcica bridging and hypodontia (Table 2).
Table 2: Association of sella bridging in the study sample compared to controls using chi-square test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree of calcification</th>
<th>Patients with hypodontia (Cases)</th>
<th>Patients without hypodontia (Controls)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No bridging (Type I)</td>
<td>11 (27.5%)</td>
<td>69 (57.5%)</td>
<td>80 (50.00%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial bridging (Type II)</td>
<td>22 (55.00%)</td>
<td>45 (37.5%)</td>
<td>67 (41.87%)</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete bridging (Type III)</td>
<td>7 (17.5%)</td>
<td>6 (5.00%)</td>
<td>13 (8.12%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40 (100%)</td>
<td>120 (100%)</td>
<td>160 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p-value < 0.05 = statistically significant

DISCUSSION

Bridging of the sella without clinical signs or symptoms, is considered a normal 21, although some syndromes, craniofacial and dental abnormalities can be associated with this calcification.1,3,4,17,22 Some studies have advocated sella-turcica bridging as a diagnostic marker to alert clinicians of the potential presence of other disease entities/ anomalies.17 It has been shown that an interclinoid ligament (ICL) is laid down in cartilage at an early stage of development and ossifies later in early childhood. This ossification can be due to the complex embryology of the sphenoid bone.4,23,24 According to this theory, a sella turcica bridge should be considered a developmental anomaly. Along with this, the area anterior to the sella turcica develops predominantly from neural crest cells, hence any structural deviations in the anterior wall are believed to be related to specific deviations in the skeleton of facial region.22

In this study, the prevalence of a sella turcica bridge was investigated in a group of patients with hypodontia (i.e. the absence any tooth except third molars) and compared with patients without hypodontia (controls). The data from this study suggest an incidence of 5% for complete bridging in the control sample. This result is slightly lower than the reported radiographic data of a sella turcica bridge in normal subjects.4,25 On the other hand, patients with hypodontia showed a frequency of 17.5 per cent for a sella turcica bridge which is similar to
that for subjects with severe craniofacial deviations. The presence of a partially calcified sella was also increased in patients with hypodontia (55 percent) versus control group (37.5 per cent). The finding of this study for complete bridging in controls (5%) was lower than the study by Shrestha et al. (11.67%) while for partial bridging, it was more (37.5%).

The finding of this studies is similar to other studies. Leonardi et al., after evaluating lateral cephalograms of Caucasians, reported increased incidence of sella-turcica bridging in individuals with palatally displaced canines (complete: 5.6%; partial: 77.8%) compared to controls (complete: 9.9%; partial: 33.7%). Similarly, Ali et al.’s study of Pakistani patients found a higher prevalence of sella-turcica bridging in cases diagnosed with palatal canine impaction (complete: 25.8%, partial: 54.8%) compared to (complete: 0%; partial: 51.4%) sella-turcica bridging in the control group. Najim and Al-Nakib studied the same association in an Iraqi population.

Molecular studies of odontogenesis in mouse tooth have shown that tooth development is under strict genetic control, which determines tooth number, position, shape, and size. Although tooth agenesis is occasionally caused by environmental factors, such as trauma of the dental region or by multi-reagent chemotherapy or radiotherapy, the majority of cases of hypodontia and oligodontia are due to genetic factors.

This study showed no dependence between the degree of calcification and gender which is in accordance with the study by Dixit et al and Leonardi et al. The results of this study showed significant association between sella turcica bridging and hypodontia which is in accordance with the study by Leonardi et al that showed significant association of sella turcica bridging in subjects with dental transposition and but contradictory to the Study by Pamela et al that showed no statistically significant association between tooth anomalies like maxillary palatal canine impaction and sella-turcica bridging.

CONCLUSIONS
Sella turcica bridging is significantly associated with hypodontia. There is no dependence between the degree of calcification and gender. As the sella turcica bridge appear early in life, it should alert clinicians as a useful diagnostic predictor to the possible development of tooth anomalies later in life.
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