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ABSTRACT:

Background: Spinal anesthesia compared to the general anesthesia has advantages of decreased blood loss, better cardiovascular stability and postoperative pain control. This study was designed to evaluate pedicle screw removal at lateral position under spinal anesthesia.

Methods: It is a prospective study done in patients with ASA (American Society of Anaesthesiologist) I and II with age between 17 to 75 years of both sex admitted for pedicle screw removal surgery during period March 2018 to April 2019 AD in Western Hospital and research centre Nepalgunj. All patients were informed about the risk of conversion to general anaesthesia in detail. Spinal anaesthesia was given to all 83 patients who came for pedicle screw removal.

Results: Out of all patients 54% were from Hills and remaining 46% were from Terai. The commonest cause of injury was fall from tree which was in 48 (57.8%) out of 83 cases. Commonest level of injury was L1 followed by L2. Operation was completed under spinal anesthesia. None of the patient required conversion to general anaesthesia. 69 (83.1%) patients did not require any additional medications whereas remaining 14 (16.86%) needed additional medications. It allows good perioperative haemodynamic stability and also more cost effective.

Conclusion: Spinal anesthesia is safe and effective anesthetic technique for short duration spinal surgery as for example pedicle screw removal in terms perioperative events and in prolonged post-operative analgesia, as well as in terms of patient and surgeon's satisfaction.
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INTRODUCTION

Spinal anesthesia is a common mode of anesthesia for many surgical procedure of lower limb and lower abdominal surgeries done at Western Hospital Nepalgunj. Spinal anesthesia is achieved by injecting local anesthetic solution into the fluid surrounding the spinal cord. During spinal procedures some time combination of local anesthetic with opioid painkiller are injected into the cerebrospinal fluid of spinal cord. At our Hospital, we usually use only local anesthetic for spinal block.

Many new drugs are coming for spinal anesthesia because of unavailability of other drug. At our set up, heavy (0.5%) bupivacaine is used for spinal anesthesia. Fracture of vertebra is the commonest trauma seen at western hospital for surgery. Common fracture sites are cervical, thoracic and lumbar but commonest site is lumbar vertebra. Operative procedures for vertebral fracture is fixation of vertebra with pedicle screw. Pedicle screw fixation or other spinal procedure can be done either under general or regional anesthesia. However, general anaesthesia is anesthetic technique of choice for prolonged surgeries performed in prone position. It is generally preferred due to patient’s comfort and also to prevent airway compromise. Some studies suggest that all lumbar spine surgeries should be performed under general anaesthesia to guarantee better outcomes, while other studies show that short procedures like lumbar disectomy and removal of pedicle screw can be successfully done under spinal anesthesia with good outcomes and patient satisfaction.1

Regional anaesthesia is used for short simple procedures in carefully selected patients. Patients usually come for removal of pedicle after six month to one year. Removal of pedicle screw requires only half an hour time. As the procedure takes very short time, spinal anesthesia is anestheia of choice for surgeon and anesthesists. As in spinal anesthesia only one drug is used there is less chance of drug interaction and toxicity of drug. It is also cheaper option for poor patient of this area. Complication after anesthesia is very low, only one complication is post dural puncture headache which is only 10.84% in our study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a prospective study of cases admitted for pedicle screw removal during period of March 2018 to April 2019 AD (period of thirteen months). All the patients admitted for pedicle screw removal during the study period were included in the study. Patients with infection at the site of spinal injection, patients with bleeding disorder and uncooperative patients were excluded from the study.

Permission from Institutional ethical committee was obtained. All patients were explained about the study and written informed consent obtained. Pre-anaesthetic assessment was done to ascertain patient fitness and to rule out coagulopathy, hypovolaemia, infection at injection site, history of seizure and raised intracranial pressure. Routine investigations were requested and reviewed by the team. Fasting guidelines were also given. Monitor was attached after the patient was brought to the operation theatre. Routine monitoring of non-invasive blood pressure, oxygen saturation and electrocardiography was done throughout surgery and later in recovery room.

A 18 Gauge cannula was inserted, secured and patient preloaded with ringer’s lactate 1 liter over 15 minutes. Patients were placed in lateral decubitus position. The subarachnoid space puncture was performed between L3–L4 apophyses and 3.5 to 4.0 ml of hyperbaric 0.5% bupivacaine were injected. Afterwards patients were made to lie down supine for 10 to 15 minutes. Meanwhile an appropriate size catheter was placed aseptically into the urinary bladder. Once sensory block was confirmed at level T4 by painful and cold stimuli patient was again placed in lateral position and operation was started. If mean arterial pressure dropped below 60mm of Hg, 3mg of mephantaramine was administered.

Before procedure was started patient was given subcutaneous injection of local anaesthetic consisting of 1% xylocaine with adrenalin. After finishing operation patient was shifted to postoperative ward and maintained on IV fluids for four hours after surgery. Pain relief was maintained by intramuscular declofenec and injection paracetamol infusion intravenous. Oral feeding started 4 hours after surgery.
RESULTS

Total 83 patients had undergone pedicle screw removal during the study period. All procedures were completed under spinal anesthesia, with no cases needing conversion to general anesthesia. Age range of the patients was 16 to 55 years with 83% female and 17% male. The commonest level of injury was found to be L1 (50.6% of cases).

Demographic Characteristic:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (years)</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-35</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-45</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-55</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-75</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of total 83 patients, 14 (17%) were male and 69 (83%) were female. Male: Female ratio was 1:4.9 (fig. 1).

The maximum number of patients undergoing surgery were in age group 26-35 which was 29 (34.9%) whereas seven patients in each age group 46-55 and 56-75.

Level of injury

The commonest Level of injury was found to be L1 in 42 (50.6%) patients, followed by L2 in 19 (22.9%) patients, T10 in 10 (12%) patients. The least common level of injury were T9, T10, L4 and T11-L1 having only one patient in each level followed by T11, T11-12, T11-12, having two patients in each level of injury out of 83 patients.

Fig 2: X-ray showing pedicle screw fixed in lumbar vertebra.

The figure 2 depicts pedicle screw fixation of L1 vertebra fracture. The X-ray film is of one year after fixation of pedicle screw, just before removal.

Fig 3: X-ray Showing pedicle screw fixed in thoracolumbar vertebra

The figure 3 showed the X-ray film depicts T12 vertebral fracture with pedicle screw in-situ, lateral and AP view before removal.

All procedures were completed under spinal anesthesia, with no cases needing conversion to general anesthesia. Intraoperatively, two patients (2.4%) presented with hypotension and meperidine was given. Out of 83 patients 69 patients (83.1%) did not need any additional medication, 14 patients (16.86%) experienced pain and received butorphanol and midazolam.
Complication

There was no any complication except in 9 patients (10.84%) who had postdural puncture headache and it was managed with intravenous paracetamol and bed rest.

**DISCUSSION**

This study shows that intrathecal administration of 3.5 to 4.0 ml of 0.5% heavy bupivacaine was well tolerated and adequate block for spinal surgery of short duration was achieved.

Single puncture spinal anesthesia can be an easier technique than general anesthesia. Monitoring of patients under spinal anesthesia is easier than general anesthesia². Complication of endotracheal intubation like damage to oral cavity, teeth, sore throat and aspiration, failure of intubation are absent in spinal anesthesia. The drugs and equipment required are much less and cheaper besides the length of hospital stay which is shorter³. Taken together, less operative time suggest a faster turnover rate and more efficient use of the operation room. This suggests SA may be the more cost-effective method of anesthesia. Wang and co-worker in a randomized clinical trial in 60 women scheduled for lower abdominal surgery under general anesthesia or spinal anesthesia concluded that postoperative pain after lower abdominal surgery can be significantly decreased if the surgery is performed under spinal anesthesia with 3 ml of hyperbaric 0.5% bupivacaine⁴. The postoperative recovery of the patients was normal, it is described that spinal anesthesia is associated with lower frequency of serious postoperative morbidities and an improved outcome when compared to general anesthesia⁵,⁶. Spinal anesthesia is much safer for patients of respiratory diseases as Scott et al showed, pulmonary complications were more common in patients who underwent GA compared with regional anaesthesia⁷.

Two retrospective studies show that SA resulted in better outcome compared with GA in patients underwent surgeries on lumber spine⁸,⁹. Meng at el performed a systemic meta-analysis of eight randomized control trial of SA vs GA in lumbar spine surgery. They found those patients receiving SA had a reduction in intraoperative hypertension and tachycardia, reduced length of hospital stay, reduced PACU pain scores and reduced nausea and vomiting¹⁰. McLain et al reported a case control study of 400 consecutive patients undergoing lumbar spine surgery in which SA was as safe and effective as GA and offered additional benefit, including less postoperative nausea, less need for analgesia, better perioperative haemodynamic, and shorter anesthesia time¹¹.

In another study by Attari et al, 72 patients underwent spinal surgery with half assigned to general anesthesia and the other to spinal anesthesia¹². Statistically significant reduction in MAP and heart rate changes were noted in spinal group. In addition there was enhanced surgeon satisfaction as well as reduction in postoperative pain. These results were supported in another study which compared sixty patients undergoing lumber disk surgery¹³,¹⁴. This group noted like Attari, that there were less episodes of tachycardia, hypertension and better postoperative pain with less nausea/vomiting in patients undergoing spinal.

**CONCLUSION**

Spinal anesthesia is safe and effective anesthetic technique for short duration spinal surgery as for example pedicle screw removal in terms perioperative events and in prolonged post-operative analgesia, as well as in terms of patient and surgeon's satisfaction.
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